

Corrigendum No. 2**Subject:-**

Selection of CPSU/CPSE as PMC for Project “Construction of Two Film Studios and Ancillary Structure at FTII Kothrud Campus”

This has reference to our CPPP Tender ID 2026_FTII_917175_1 for the above-mentioned subject, and to Corrigendum No. 1 dated 21-07-2026 issued in connection therewith consequent to the Pre-Bid Meeting held on 21-07-2026.

On further examination of the Notice Inviting Tender (NIT) document, the following additional amendments in the NIT Document Clauses/Terms & Conditions are proposed:-

S.N.	Earlier NIT Clause	Amendment Proposed
1.	Clause No. 11.1 — Technical Evaluation Only PSUs securing a minimum of 40 marks (out of 100) in technical evaluation... shall be considered technically qualified. Technical Evaluation Criteria table: Total (A to E) — Total Cut-Off Marks: 45. Annexure I — Total Cut-Off Marks: 35.	Clause No. 11.1 of NIT and Annexure I are amended as follows:- There is presently an internal inconsistency in the NIT — the opening paragraph of Clause 11.1 refers to a minimum of 40 technical marks, the Technical Evaluation Criteria table refers to an overall cut-off of 45 marks, and Annexure I refers to an overall cut-off of 35 marks. This inconsistency stands removed. The minimum technical qualifying marks shall uniformly be 70 (out of 100) at all places in the NIT and Annexure I. Only bidders securing 70 marks or more in the overall technical evaluation, AND meeting the individual sub-criterion cut-offs prescribed against Criteria A to E, shall be considered technically qualified. Financial Bids of only such technically qualified bidders shall be opened.
2.	Clause No. 11.1(B), 11.2 and Annexure IV These presently evaluate only the overall/corporate strength of engineers available with the bidding CPSU/CPSE, without requiring a named project team to be deployed at FTII.	A new Clause 2.2(D) — Deployment of Key Personnel — is added to the NIT as follows:- “The selected PMC shall submit to FTII the details/strength of the personnel/officials proposed to be deployed at FTII for the Project, including inter alia: Project Director; full-time Resident Engineer/Project Manager; civil/structural and PEB engineer; electrical/HT/DG/UPS engineer; HVAC engineer; acoustic/studio systems expert; studio lighting and telemetry expert; and such other technical personnel as may be relevant to the Project, ensuring that all technical officials/personnel so deployed are duly qualified

S.N.	Earlier NIT Clause	Amendment Proposed
		and experienced for the discipline concerned. The successful PMC shall furnish the names, CVs, proposed person-month deployment and site-presence schedule of such key personnel before signing of the MOU. Substitution of any named key personnel shall be permitted only for compelling reasons, with prior written approval of FTII, by a person having equivalent or superior qualification and experience.
3.	Clause No. 2.4, Item 3 and Clause No. 2.2(A)(iv) Clause 2.4, item 3 provides for floating, evaluation and award of the EPC contract to be carried out “PMC (with FTII representative in TEC)” / approved “PMC/FTII jointly”. Clause 2.2(A)(iv) provides only for one representative of FTII to be included in the Tender Evaluation Committee (TEC) constituted by the PMC for selection of the EPC contractor.	Clause No. 2.4 (Item 3) and Clause No. 2.2(A)(iv) of NIT are clarified/amended by addition of the following condition, to remove the ambiguity between “joint” award and a single FTII representative on the TEC:- “The PMC shall submit the draft EPC tender document, prequalification criteria, technical specifications, quality assurance plan, evaluation criteria and GCC/SCC to FTII. The EPC tender shall not be issued or materially amended, and the EPC contract shall not be awarded, without the recorded no-objection of the FTII Works Committee/Competent Authority. FTII's review or no-objection shall not relieve the PMC or EPC contractor of any contractual, professional or statutory responsibility.”
4.	Clause No. 30 (Integrity Pact) and Appendix C Appendix C presently incorporates the Integrity Pact of the Miscellaneous Works NIT of FTII dated 14/10/2025 “mutatis mutandis”, by reference, and contains residual references to “Miscellaneous Construction and Repair Works of FTII Pune”, blank dates and inconsistent project descriptions.	Clause No. 30 and Appendix C of NIT are amended as follows:- A complete, project-specific Pre-Contract Integrity Pact for “Construction of Two Film Studios and Ancillary Structure at FTII Kothrud Campus” shall be attached to and form part of this NIT, in supersession of the reference “mutatis mutandis” to the Integrity Pact of the Miscellaneous Works NIT. All residual references to “Miscellaneous Construction and Repair Works of FTII Pune”, blank dates and inconsistent project descriptions appearing in Appendix C and elsewhere in the NIT shall stand corrected to reflect the correct project name, NIT number and dates.

S.N.	Earlier NIT Clause	Amendment Proposed
5.	Clause No. 12 — Agency Charges The CPSU/CPSE shall quote service charges as a percentage of the total project construction cost (i.e., on the EPC contract value awarded by the PMC to the implementing agency).	Clause No. 12 of NIT is extended/amended as follows:- Once the EPC contract value is awarded/finalised by the PMC through open competitive bidding, the PMC's remuneration computed by applying the accepted percentage service charge to such awarded EPC contract value shall be converted into, and capped at, a fixed lump-sum rupee amount for the remainder of the assignment. No additional PMC fee shall become payable merely on account of price escalation, variations, extension of time, supplementary/additional works, settlement of contractor claims, or any consequent increase in the final project cost, except where FTII separately and specifically sanctions an identifiable addition to the scope of the PMC's services.
6.	Clause No. 2.2(B)(xi), Clause No. 2.4 (Item 6) and Clause No. 12 Clause 2.2(B)(xi) requires the PMC to appoint TPQA (IIT/NIT) at three stages. Clause 2.4, item 6 shows TPQA as engaged by "TPQA Agency engaged by PMC/FTII". Clause 12 includes third-party certification fees within the PMC's agreed service fee.	Clause No. 2.2(B)(xi) of NIT is extended, and Clause No. 2.4 (Item 6) is clarified, as follows:- The terms of reference, personnel and inspection schedule of the Third Party Quality Assurance (TPQA) agency shall require prior approval of FTII. TPQA shall be selected through due process from technically competent agencies acceptable to FTII, and shall submit every inspection/test report simultaneously to FTII and the PMC. TPQA shall have unrestricted access to the site, records, laboratories and suppliers, and shall not be limited to only three visits; inspection shall be carried out against a detailed Inspection and Test Plan covering all major stages of work. (Note: TPQA charges stand borne by FTII as already clarified vide Corrigendum No. 1.)
7.	Clause No. 19 — Payment Milestones for PMC Service Charges Milestone-wise payment of PMC service charges is presently released on a certificate of achievement of the respective milestone signed by the PMC's own competent authority.	Clause No. 19 of NIT is extended as follows:- Release of Milestone No. 2 (Technical Sanction of NIT/Tender Document for EPC Contractor) shall be subject to acceptance of the complete EPC tender document by FTII. Release of Milestone No. 3 (Award of EPC Contract) shall be subject to compliant contractor selection, credential

S.N.	Earlier NIT Clause	Amendment Proposed
		verification and execution of the EPC contract with the requisite securities, to FTII's satisfaction. Release of construction-stage milestones (Milestone Nos. 4 to 7) shall additionally be subject to the relevant TPQA clearance and closure of major non-conformance reports, if any, for the stage of work concerned.
8.	Clause No. 18 — Liquidated Damages against Contractors The PMC is presently responsible for recovery of liquidated damages from the EPC contractor/agencies, to be credited to FTII in the project accounts.	Clause No. 18 of NIT is extended as follows:- Failure of the PMC to levy or recover contractual Liquidated Damages from the EPC contractor, without recorded approval of FTII for not doing so, shall be treated as a performance deficiency on the part of the PMC and shall be dealt with accordingly, including under Clause 27 (Termination) and the Performance Security furnished by the PMC.

All participating bidders are requested to take note of the amendments mentioned in this Corrigendum No. 2 and submit/resubmit their bids accordingly.

Sd/-
Purchase Officer,
FTII, Pune.



**Government
eProcurement
System**

eProcurement System Government of India

Published Corrigendum Details

Date : 30-Jul-2026 05:44 PM

Print

Organisation Chain :	Film and Television Institute of India Purchase Department - FTII
Tender ID :	2026_FTII_917175_1
Tender Ref No :	CAP-791
Tender Title :	CAP-791
Corrigendum Type :	Technical Bid

Corrigendum Document Details

Corr.No.	Corrigendum Title	Corrigendum Description	Published Date	Document Name	Doc Size(in KB)
1	CAP-791 Corrigendum in NIT 2nd	CAP-791 Corrigendum No. 2 in Notice Inviting Tender Document for Selection of CPSU/CPSE as PMC for Construction of Two Film Studios and Ancillary Structure at FTII.	30-Jul-2026 05:40 PM	791corri2.pdf	97.05
2	CAP-791 Corrigendum in NIT	CAP-791 Corrigendum in Notice Inviting Tender Document for Selection of CPSU/CPSE as PMC for Construction of Two Film Studios and Ancillary Structure at FTII.	23-Jul-2026 05:43 PM	791corri.pdf	116.52