### OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY FILM AND TELEVISION INSTITUTE OF INDIA LAW COLLEGE ROAD, PUNE – 411 004 Tel. 020-25580006 (O)

No.FTII/RTI/FAA/3(1)/2021

Date: 20.04.2021

### IN THE MATTER OF: I.D. NO.57

#### FATIP/A/E/21/00008

Shri K. Jagadeeswaran

Appellant

V/s

CPIO/Administrative Officer FTII, Pune

Respondent

#### ORDER

Date of RTI Application - 06.01.2021
Date of RTI Reply - 04.02.2021
Date of RTI Appeal - 08.03.2021

This order shall dispose of the appeal filed by Shri K. Jagadeeswaran FATIP/A/E/21/00008 in respect of ID No.57.

The appellant in his RTI application dated 06.01.2021 requested for the following information :

The copy of office records. Under Section 2(f), Section 2(j) and Section 6(I) of the RTI Act, 2005. Please provide the following information. We filed an affidavit in Mumbai High Court regarding the Terminal Benefit Committee recommendations on our retirement pensionary benefits which were approved by FTII Governing Council meeting held on 21.03.1978. My RTI application is with reference to Review Petition No.69 of 2018 filed in Hon'ble High Court Mumbai arised out of the final order passed in W.P. 5885 of 2010 dated 01.07.2016. 1. As per the records maintained by the office, please provide the copy of records available in the office, which were referred and verified by Director before submitting (as mentioned) in the Affidavit in Reply to the Hon'ble High Court, Mumbai on 10th July, 2018 with reference to Review Petition No.69 of 2018.

The PIO, vide his reply dated 4th February, 2021 provided the information.

. The appellant contends no response within the time limit from the Administration PIO.

The contention of the appellant is correct and therefore accepted. However, the PIO, vide his reply dated 4<sup>th</sup> February, 2021 (*copy enclosed*) had already sent the information.

The appeal is therefore disposed of.

If you are not satisfied with the information provided, you may file an appeal before Central Information Commission, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110 066 within the stipulated time.

Sd/-(Sayyid Rabeehashmi) Registrar First Appellate Authority

Encl: As above

Shri K. Jagdeeswaran D8 602, Sundar Sahawas Phase 2 Sinhagad Road, Anand Nagar Pune – 411 051.

## Copy to:

- CPIO/Administrative Officer, FTII, Pune for information.
- In-charge Multi Media, FTII with a request to upload the order on the FTII website.

(Sayyid Rabeehashmi) Registrar First Appellate Authority



No. F-23014/1/2021-Est

Dated: 04.02.2021

To,

Shri K Jagdeeswaran, D-8, Flat - 602, Sundar Sahawas Phase 2, Sinhagad Road, Anand Nagar, Pune - 411051

Subject: Supply of information/documents under RTI Act 2005.

Sir.

This has reference to your online RTI application bearing registration no. FATIP/R/E/21/00004 dated 06.01.2021, regarding above mentioned subject.

The information sought is furnished in Annexure - 'A'.

Under Section 19(1) of RTI Act, 2005 and appeal can be filed within 30 days from the date of receipt of the reply before the first Appellate Authority, whose particulars are given below:-

Shri Sayyid Rabeehashmi Registrar & Appellate Authority Film and Television Institute of India, Law College Road, Pune – 411 004.

Yours faithfully,

(S. K. DEKATE) / (
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER &
PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

Encl : As above

विधी महाविद्यालय मार्ग, पुणे ४११ ००४ Law College Road, Pune 411 004. दुख्वनी / Phone: 020-25580000 । फॅक्स / Fax: 020-25580151

E-mail : filminstitute@ftiindia.com Website : www.ftiindia.com

# DOCUMENTS/INFORMATION WITH REFERENCE TO THE ONLINE RTI APPLICATION DATED 06.01.2020 FROM SHRI K JAGDEESWARAN, PUNE.

Sr. No.	Item	Reply				
FTII ble I	we filed an affidavit in Mumbai High Corecommendations on our retirement per Governing Council may RTI application is with reference to	tion 6 (I) of the right to information Act, 2005 following information ourt regarding the Terminal Benefit Commit- ensionary benefits which were approved by eeting held on 21.3.1978. Review Petition No.69 of 2018 filed in Hon- al order passed in W.P. 5885 of 2010 dated				
1						

प्रशासनिक व निर्माशी <del>ने लोक हैं है जो हैं दिन हैं दि</del>

Minutes of the 10th maeting of the Governing Council of the Film & TV Institute of India, held in the room of the Chairman, Shri S.K. Sahgal, Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, Shastry Bha New Delhi, at 3.00 p.m. on the 21st Narch, 1978.

(approved by the Chairman on 12th April, 1978)

#### Present:

1	197 198	Shr	18	3.K.	Se	hga	1	Se	cre	tar	у,
		Min	is	try	of	IRE	, B	GW	De	lbi	

Chairman

2. Shri Aton Ran

Member

3. Shri Dilip Padgaonkar

Member Member

4. Shri K.K. Mahajan ...

Mamber

5. Shri Vinod Chopra ...

6. Shri B.V.Karanth, Director, National School of Brama, New Delhi.

7. Shri R.K. Shastri, J.S.(I), Ministry of I&B, New Delhi.

8. Shri G. Jayaraman, J.S.(F), Ministry of I&B, New Delhi.

9. Shri P.V.Krishmamoorthy, D.G., Doordarshan, New Delhi

Mamber

10. Shri Jagat Murari, Director, FIII

.. Member-So:

S/Shri A.V. Narayanan, DS(F), Ministry of I&B, New Delhi, &

K.S. Kudva, Registrar, FTII, Fure, were also present

Item i of Introduction and welcoming of the new the Agenda: Members of the Governing Council and election of the Vice Chairman;

The Chairman welcomed the new Members of the Governing Council, namely -

1. Shri Atma Raz.

2. Shri Dilip Padgaonkar.

3. Shri K.K. Mahajan.

4. Shri Vinod Chopra.

5. Shri B.V. Karanth.

era 18: Proposal to Sena Professoro/Lasiatent Profes To to visit ew Dolhi for Film Festivals:

Noted, that the FAII to sending

the Pile Postavale organises in Indian.

Fara 19: (i) Shri Satyajit Ray as President of the Pilm A Television Institute of India Society: hot a.

(i) The Committee to iscide service admittens of the employees of the FTII Society:

he recommendations of the Terminal Benefits

Committee were noted and approved by the everning foundly.

Governing Council held on the 21st July, 1977 at New Delni:

Item 1: Noted.

Item 2.1: appointment of Dea (Films) and Dean (TV):

The Governing Council desired that the proposed recruitment rules for the posts of Dean (Films) and Dean (TV) should be circulated among the members and thereafter the matter be taken up for consideration at the next meeting.

Pattern of Course:

Tem 2.2: Sound Recording a Scord Pharmsoning Course;

The Governing Council noted that the response to the first course was not savisfactory. It was felt that the response to the second course commencing from August, 1978 should be watched. A review may be carried out thereafter.

I tem 2.3: Training at the Pilm & Telavisics Institute of India to the students of Mational School of Drama:

Shri Javaraman enquired as to why the PIII had undertaken training of the LSD students when the Acting course in the Institute was over abolished. Shri B.V. Kuranth stated that a systematic and adjectific training in Acting was imparted in the ASD which could must the needs of Film & Television also. Shri P.V.Krishnamporthy

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION No. 4155 (St.) of 2017

In

WRIT PETITION No. 5885 OF 2010

K. Jagdeeshwaran & others

... Petitioners

VERSUS

Union of India & others

...... Respondents

# AFFIDAVIT-IN-REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT NOS. 2 AND 3

I, Shri Bhupendra Kainthola, working as Director at Film and Television Institute of India and having my office at Law College Road, Pune – 411 004, do solemnly affirm and state on behalf of respondent nos 2 and 3 as under:

Affidavit to reply to the review petition filed by the petitioners. I say that I have gone through the contents of the review Petition filed by the Petitioner, the annexures thereto and the relevant records available in the office of the Respondent No.2 and upon the information derived there from, I am filing this Affidavit-in-Reply only for the limited purpose of opposing admission of the review Petition and do hereby crave leave of this Hon'ble Court to file additional Affidavit-in-Reply, as and when required and if

and Pension Schemes by virtue of the 1977 Rules." In view of the same I deny the contrary contentions raised in the para.

The contentions of the Applicants that the alleged draft rules are approved in the Governing Council Meeting held on 21.03.1978 are absolutely incorrect. Further these contentions are raised for the first time by way of Review Applications & it was not the case of applicant at the stage of hearing of the Main Petition.

3.15 With reference to para 32 of the petition I say that a new contention is raised by the Petitioner that the 1977 rules are approved in the GC meeting held on 21.3.1978. I say that said contention was not raised in Original Application or Writ Petition earlier by the petitioners the same is not accepted by the Respondents and also the High Court in the impugned Judgment & Order dated 01.07,2016 clearly in para 27 that "on the basis of certain resolutions or rules in the matter of coverage under GPF and Pension Schemes do not take the case of the petitioners any further. At the outset, it is required to be noted that the rules, which were referred to by Mr. Bhange (Petitioner's advocate in High Court) has the General Provident Fund-cum-Pension-Gratuity Rules, 1977, appear to be only draft rules. The documents on record also bear out this position substantially. If 1977 Rules were indeed Rules in force, then it is inexplicable as to why the FTH made resolutions thereafter and forwarded proposals to the Central Government. Accordingly, there is no basis to hold that the petitioners are already covered under the GPF and Pension Schemes by virtue of the 1977 Rules."

<sup>3.16</sup> With reference to para 33 of the petition I say that it is categorically mentioned in the first para of the circular that "It

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 69 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION 5885 OF 2010

K. Jagadeeswaran And Ors. V/S Union Of India And Ors. Petitioners

....Respondents

Mr.A.V.Anturkar, Sr.Advocate with Mr.Rushikesh Chandrashekhar Barge & Mr.Yatin Malvankar for the Petitioners.

Ms.Neeta Masurkar alongwith A.U.Singh for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : A.A. SAYED & M.S.SONAK, JJ.

DATED: 17 DECEMBER 2018 (IN CHAMBER AT 2.45 P.M.)

P.C.:

Learned Senior Counsel for the Review Petitioners has pointed out paragraph 27 of the order under Review dated 1 July 2016, wherein it is stated as follows:

"27. Mr. Bhange's submission, on the basis of certain resolutions or rules in the matter of coverage under GPF and Pension Schemes do not take the case of the petitioners any further. At the outset, it is required to be noted that the rules, which were referred to by Mr. Bhange has the General Provident