OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY FILM AND TELEVISION INSTITUTE OF INDIA LAW COLLEGE ROAD, PUNE – 411 004 Tel. 25433360 (O)

No.FTII/Reg/RTI/9/2019

Date: 04.11.2019

IN THE MATTER OF: I.D. NO.37

Shri Sanjay J. Chandekar

Appellant

V/s

PIO/Administrative Officer FTII, Pune

Respondent

ORDER

Date of RTI Application	- 05.07.2019
Date of RTI Reply	- 22.08.2019
Date of RTI Appeal	- 20.09.2019
Date of Hearing	- 04.11.2019

This order shall dispose of the appeal filed by Shri Sanjay Chandekar in respect of I.D. No.37.

Shri Sanjay Chandekar, Appellant was present on 04.11.2019

2. Present Shri N.D. Mapari, representative of PIO/ Administrative Officer

3. The appellant vide his RTI application dated 05.07.2019 had sought information on the following:

Sr. No.	Information asked for by the Appellant	Reply/information given by PIO	Contentions of the Appellant before the First Appellate Authority	Order of First Appellate Authority
1	A report of the	Not Available	The reply is not	It is reported and confirmed by the
	inquiry committee (dated 02.12.2013)		satisfactory.	representative of
	submitted to the		When this	
	office as I did not		report is	proceedings in the matter before
	received any copy of	1	available with the IC	the First
	the said report (neither from		committee	Appellate
	the committee nor		which was	
	from the	1	shown to me	
	administration of		from a	
	FTII) despite of		distance,	
	demanding it two-		really wonder how	
	three times & a	La company	the reply	
	follow-up		the ropry	

Sr. No.	Information asked for by the Appellant		Contentions of the Appellant before the First Appellate	Appellate Authority
2.	A copy of allegations made by Ms Kanchan (dated 05.11.2012) & by Ms. Smita Khoje (dated 18.10.2013) which were not given to me before during or after nquiry	Copy enclosed (4 pages)	Authority could be like the given one. The report may please be searched out & may please be furnished. According to my observation & experienced things, the same report is available with ICC. I only got the copy of allegations made by Kanchan Chavan. I have not got the copy of the same by Ms. Smita Khoje (dated 18.10.2013)	so as to satisfy the appellant.

Sr. No.	Information asked for by the Appellant	Reply/information given by PIO	of the Appellant before the First Appellate Authority	Order of First Appellate Authority
3.	A copy of the office letter sent to the inquiry committee or any of the inquiry committee member in 2013 regarding proceeding for the inquiry about me at that time.	Not Available	that there is no maintenance	within 10 days
			of proper record or any entries kept for the future record. It is requested to do all the needful to furnish a copy please.	
4.	A copy of the office letter, if any, of the inquiry to be conducted by the committee or me in 2013 which was conducted or 15.11.2013. As		The reply is not satisfactory.	provide all the information, is available, asked by the Appellan within 10 days without fees.
	did not receive any such copy and all of a sudden an inquiry was started without giving any notice as such to me	/ f / t	no maintenance of proper record or any entries kep for the future record.	As regards to contention of Appellant regarding non the maintenance of
			It is requested to do all the needful to	RTI Act 2005 an need not to be complied with.

Sr. No.		Reply/information given by PIO	Contentions of the Appellant before the First Appellate Authority	Authority
5.	Till what period Ms. Sonal Inamdar was working at Radio FTII?	23.06.2015 to 25.06.2018	The reply seems to be inappropriate & not satisfactory. Accurate information may please be provided with break periods	hearing, it was confirmed that Ms. Sonal Inamdar is one of the complainant in the Appellant's
6.	What were the break period at Radio FTII when Ms. Sonal Inamdar was not working?	1		During the hearing, it was confirmed that Ms. Sonal Inamdar is one of the complainant in the Appellant's inquiry matter. PIO is directed to collect the information regarding the break period in respect of Ms. Sonal Inamdar from I/C Radio FTII and the same may be

Sr. No.	Information asked for by the Appellant	Reply/information given by PlO	of the Appellant before the First Appellate Authority	Appellate Authority
7	Whether any written application by Ms. Sonal Inamdar for her transfer to other dept or setion was done?	Not Applicable	The reply given as "Not Applicable" is not satisfactory & appropriate. The reply is expected in a yes or no form. It is requested to kindly do the needful please to give appropriate information.	was confirmed that Ms. Sonal Inamdar is one of the complainant in
to a to	prescribed time period is crossed while making a complaint to CC whether here is a	Redressal) Act,	The reply given is not satisfactory. It is not inaccurate 7 precisely mentioned Yes or No form	Since the information given by PIO is inappropriate, PIO is directed to provide a copy of Government Gazette on Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace(Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 dated 9.12.2013 within 10 days without fees

Sr. No.	Information asked for by the Appellant	Reply/information given by PIO	Contentions of the Appellant before the First Appellate	Appellate Authority
	such complaints ?	(Prevention, prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013.	Authority The reply given is not satisfactory. It is not in accurate & precisely mentioned Yes or No for, It is diverting.	inappropriate DIO

The appeal is therefore disposed of.

4. Ordered accordingly.

If you are not satisfied with the information provided, you may file an appeal before Central Information Commission, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi - 110 066 within the stipulated time.

(G.M. Maske) I/C Registrar First Appellate Authority

Shri Sanjay Chandekar Telecine Engineer FTII, Pune - 411 004

Copy to:

PIO/Administrative Officer, FTII, Pune 1.

I/C Multi Media, FTII with a request to upload the order on the FTII website. 2.

> (G.M. Maske) I/C Registrar First Appellate Authority